Ayres Research Group at the University of Cincinnati



Neil Ayres neil.ayres@UC.edu Web: ayres.group Twitter: @AyresLab







What Questions are we asking?

- How can we use inspiration from nature to design blood-compatible polymers?
- Can the stiffness of a gel control the fate of human cells?
- Can we control the speed of sound by controlling silicone emulsions?





Why is this exciting?

- Currently, all biomaterials in contact with blood cause clotting
- No good models for changes in heart infarction with time (scarring and stiffening)
- Synthesis of new, cheaper, metamaterials



Blood Compatible Polyurethanes and Polyureas



Blood Contact Activation

- The same mechanisms designed to arrest bleeding after injury can create adverse events when artificial surfaces are placed in contact with blood.
- Many examples of surface modification exist to minimize these responses.
- Some of these are based around using or mimicking heparin, our naturally occurring anticoagulant molecule.
- Heparin is a complex linear sulfated polysaccharide

Biomaterials Science, An introduction to materials in medicine eds B. D. Ratner, A. S. Hoffman, F. J. Schoen, J. E. Lemons, Elsevier Academic Press

Liu, H. Y.; Zhang, Z. Q.; Linhardt, R. J., Natural Product Reports 2009, 26 (3), 313-321.

Image: Shutterstock



A synthetic heparin-inspired polymer?

- Our goal was to make a simple polymer that would be similar to many biomaterials currently used (polyurethanes).
- This goal lead us to using step-growth polymerizations, and specifically making polyureas.
- We chose to use commercially available diisocyanates with novel diamines, where we could examine the effects of monomer chemistry on polymer blood compatibility.



Preparing a sugar-diamine



Huang Y., Shaw M.A., Mullins E.S., Kirley T.L., Ayres N. Biomacromolecules 2015 15(12) 4455-4466





Polymer synthesis and modification





Polymer Summary



Y. Huang, L. Taylor, X. Chen, and N. Ayres Journal of Polymer Science, Part A: Polymer Chemistry 2013 51(24) 5230-5238 Y. Huang, M.A. Shaw, E.S. Mullins, T.L. Kirley, and N. Ayres Biomacromolecules 2015 15(12) 4455-4466



Blood Compatibility

aPTT times (s)



PT times (s)

| | polymer conce | ntration (μ g/ml | L) |
|-----|---------------|-----------------------|------|
| 0.5 | 5.0 | 50 | 500 |
| 5.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 13.5 |
| 4.0 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 15.5 |
| 4.0 | 14.0 | >60 | >60 |
| 4.0 | 14.0 | >60 | >60 |
| 4.5 | 13.0 | >60 | >60 |
| 4.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 | >60 |

TT times (s)

| polymer concentration (μ g/mL) | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|-----|-----|--|
| 0.5 | 5.0 | 50 | 500 | |
| 23.0 | 23.5 | >75 | >75 | |
| 23.0 | 20.5 | >75 | >75 | |
| 24.0 | 27.5 | >75 | >75 | |
| 22.0 | >75 | >75 | >75 | |
| 25.0 | >75 | >75 | >75 | |
| 23.0 | 24.5 | >75 | >75 | |



Varying the isocyanate comonomer





Blood Compatibility



Take-away: The isocyanate comonomer is important too! ullet

Huang Y., Shaw M.A., Warmin, M.R., Mullins E.S., Ayres N. Polymer Chemistry, 2016, 7, 3897-3905



Cross-linking the polymers to make materials

- So far we have focused on the polymer synthesis and characterization.
- We are also a materials group, so we prepared films of one of the polymers.
- We used various ratios of PEG:Diamine to tune the T_g of the films.





Shape Memory behavior





| Sample | F(t | <i>R</i> /% <i>R</i> /% | | <i>R/%</i> | |
|--------|-----|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----|
| | 1h | 4h | 1 st cycle | 2 nd cycle | 31 |
| 1c | 80 | 74 | 91 | 94 | |
| 2c | 96 | 92 | 88 | 90 | |

Q. Chai, Y. Huang, and N. Ayres Journal of Polymer Science, Part A: Polymer Chemistry 2015 53(19) 2252-2257







Moving from foams to films

- Having prepared films of our material we moved into porous foams.
- Foams are used in several biomaterials applications, including embolizations.
- We used the best performing sugar/isocyanate combination in our synthesis.



Q. Chai, Y. Huang, T. Kirley and N. Ayres Polymer Chemistry 2017 8 5039 - 5048

Covalently crosslinked networks



Control over the pore size using the template approach











Shape memory properties of the foams





Permanent Shape

Fixed Shape





Recovered Shape



Hydrogel coated foams



- We are becoming interested in coating the surface of the materials with hydrogels.
- This can either be to present a better surface clotting" of small diameter vascular grafts.

for cell attachment and proliferation, or "pre-

Hydrogels with Dynamic Changes in Moduli



Fibroblast activation post-myocardial infarction

- Around 6 million Americans suffer from heart failure, resulting in a 50% 5-year mortality rate and health care cost of >\$34 billion.
- Myocardial Infarction is the underlying cause in 70% of heart failure cases.
- Fibrosis is required Post-MI in the infarct zone to replace dead cardiomyocytes, however, excessive fibrosis leads to stiffening of the heart wall and impairing cardiac physiology.







Postn++ Tcf21-/+ αSMA++







Our approach – combine natural and synthetic polymers





Stiff gel



The cross linker is a 'controlled' polythiol from RAFT polymerization



P(HPMA-s-MEMA)

| | Mn | (g/mol) | Ð |
|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| PDSEMA5) | | 12,500 | 1.25 |
| PDSEMA15) | 11,900 | | 1.12 |
| | | | |
| | [Thiol] | [Th | iol] |
| | mM | mmol/g o | f polymer |
| MEMA5) | 0.43 | 0.3 | 37 |
| MEMA15) | 1.31 | 1.2 | 23 |



Hydrogel synthesis



| Poly(HPMA77-s-MEMA5) | | Pe | oly(HPMA57-s- | MEMA15) | |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Thiol : Ene | Swelling ratio | Storage modulus (G') | Thiol : Ene | Swelling ratio | Storage modulus (G') |
| 1:1 | 1200% | 9.8 kPa | 3:1 | 840% | 13.2 kPa |
| 2:1 | 900% | I 2.0 kPa | 6:1 | 650% | 15.3 kPa |
| 3:1 | 880% | I 2.8 kPa | 9:1 | 590% | 17.8 kPa |

Hydrogel





M. Perera and N. Ayres Polym Chem 2017 8 6741-6749



The gels can be stiffened with a secondary crosslinking reaction





The gels can be softened by thiol exchange reactions with a small molecule



Adding the thermoresponsive NIPAAm to the crosslinker



Ð = 1.35

M. Perera, D. M. Fischesser, N. Ayres+ Polym Chem 2019 10 6360-63679

Disulfide exchange using cysteine spiked media changes











Fibroblasts show similar morphology on soft gelatinbased hydrogels to *in vivo*



5kPa

9kPa





14kPa









Cell area and αSMA activation in culture for 7 and 14 days



Cell areas after culture for 14 days and treated with cysteine







Porous polymers as acoustic metamaterials



'Soft' Metamaterials for acoustics





Focusing



Stiffness and porosity of the matrix are crucial



- materials.

Kovalenko, A.; Fauquignon, M.; Brunet, T.; Mondain-Monval, O. Soft Matter 2017, 13 (25), 4526–4532.

 'Soft' materials prepared using PDMS performed better than polystyrene

The observed speed of sound through the materials were dependent on the materials properties of the polymer matrix, which in turn were dependent on the initial emulsion template.



PolyMIPE synthesis strategy



Continuous Phase







Synthesis of PDMS polyMIPEs





| MIPE | Thiol:Ene Ratio | Volume of Dispersed Phase and Salt | Surfactant Content |
|------|--------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | I:2 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% |
| 2 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% |
| 3 | 2:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% |
| 4 | I:2 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% |
| 5 | 1:1 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% |
| 6 | 2:1 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% |



polyMIPE I





polyMIPE 4

polyMIPE 5

polyMIPE 6





polyMIPE 2

polyMIPE 3





| MIPE | Thiol:Ene Ratio | Volume of Dispersed Phase and Salt | Surfactant Content |
|------|--------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | I:2 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% |
| 2 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% |
| 3 | 2:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% |
| 4 | I:2 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% |
| 5 | 1:1 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% |
| 6 | 2:1 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% |



| MIPE | Thiol:Ene Ratio | Volume of Dispersed Phase and Salt | Surfactant Content | |
|------|--------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| 7 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | I.00% | |
| 8 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 3.00% | |
| 9 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 5.00% | |
| | | | | |



polyMIPE 7



polyMIPE 8





| MIPE | Thiol:Ene Ratio | Volume of Dispersed Phase and Salt | Surfactant Content |
|------|--------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 10 | 1:1 | 50% (NaCl) | 1.00% |
| 11 | 1:1 | 60% (NaCl) | 1.00% |
| 12 | 1:1 | 70% (NaCl) | 1.00% |





polyMIPE 10

polyMIPE II

polyMIPE 12







| polyMIPE | Thiol:Ene Ratio | Volume of Dispersed Phase and Salt | Surfactant Content | Surface Area (cm²/g) |
|----------|-----------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | I:2 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% | 586 |
| 2 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% | 567 |
| 3 | 2:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% | 727 |
| 4 | I:2 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% | 494 |
| 5 | 1:1 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% | 635 |
| 6 | 2:1 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% | 616 |
| 7 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | I.00% | 810 |
| 8 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 3.00% | 402 |
| 9 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 5.00% | 352 |
| 10 | 1:1 | 50% (NaCl) | I.00% | 1151 |
| | 1:1 | 60% (NaCl) | I.00% | 2557 |
| 12 | 1:1 | 70% (NaCl) | I.00% | 3743 |

| Average Pore Size D (microns) | Total Porosity (+/- 2%) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 164 | 38% |
| 173 | 39% |
| 136 | 38% |
| 195 | 36% |
| 153 | 38% |
| 150 | 42% |
| 123 | 40% |
| 249 | 44% |
| 272 | 42% |
| 104 | 49% |
| 56 | 60% |
| 48 | 66% |

| polyMIPE | Thiol:Ene Ratio | Volume of Dispersed Phase and Salt | Surfactant Content | Surface Area (cm²/g) |
|----------|-----------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | 1:2 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% | 586 |
| 2 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% | 567 |
| 3 | 2:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% | 727 |
| 4 | 1:2 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% | 494 |
| 5 | 1:1 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% | 635 |
| 6 | 2:1 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% | 616 |
| 7 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 1.00% | 810 |
| 8 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 3.00% | 402 |
| 9 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 5.00% | 352 |
| 10 | 1:1 | 50% (NaCl) | 1.00% | 1151 |
| | 1:1 | 60% (NaCl) | 1.00% | 2557 |
| 12 | 1:1 | 70% (NaCl) | 1.00% | 3743 |

| Average Pore Size D (microns) | Total Porosity (+/- 2%) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 164 | 38% |
| 173 | 39% |
| 136 | 38% |
| 195 | 36% |
| 153 | 38% |
| 150 | 42% |
| 123 | 40% |
| 249 | 44% |
| 272 | 42% |
| 104 | 49% |
| 56 | 60% |
| 48 | 66% |



| polyMIPE | Thiol:Ene Ratio | Volume of Dispersed Phase and Salt | Surfactant Content | Surface Area (cm²/g) |
|----------|-----------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | 1:2 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% | 586 |
| 2 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% | 567 |
| 3 | 2:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% | 727 |
| 4 | 1:2 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% | 494 |
| 5 | 1:1 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% | 635 |
| 6 | 2:1 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% | 616 |
| 7 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | I.00% | 810 |
| 8 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 3.00% | 402 |
| 9 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 5.00% | 352 |
| 10 | 1:1 | 50% (NaCl) | 1.00% | 1151 |
| | 1:1 | 60% (NaCl) | 1.00% | 2557 |
| 12 | 1:1 | 70% (NaCl) | 1.00% | 3743 |

| Average Pore Size D (microns) | Total Porosity (+/- 2%) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 164 | 38% |
| 173 | 39% |
| 136 | 38% |
| 195 | 36% |
| 153 | 38% |
| 150 | 42% |
| 123 | 40% |
| 249 | 44% |
| 272 | 42% |
| 104 | 49% |
| 56 | 60% |
| 48 | 66% |



| polyMIPE | Thiol:Ene Ratio | Volume of Dispersed Phase and Salt | Surfactant Content | Surface Area (cm²/g) |
|----------|-----------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | 1:2 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% | 586 |
| 2 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% | 567 |
| 3 | 2:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 0.40% | 727 |
| 4 | 1:2 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% | 494 |
| 5 | 1:1 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% | 635 |
| 6 | 2:1 | 40% (CaCl ₂) | 0.40% | 616 |
| 7 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 1.00% | 810 |
| 8 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 3.00% | 402 |
| 9 | 1:1 | 40% (NaCl) | 5.00% | 352 |
| 10 | 1:1 | 50% (NaCl) | 1.00% | 1151 |
| | 1:1 | 60% (NaCl) | 1.00% | 2557 |
| 12 | 1:1 | 70% (NaCl) | 1.00% | 3743 |

| Average Pore Size D (microns) | Total Porosity (+/- 2%) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 164 | 38% |
| 173 | 39% |
| 136 | 38% |
| 195 | 36% |
| 153 | 38% |
| 150 | 42% |
| 123 | 40% |
| 249 | 44% |
| 272 | 42% |
| 104 | 49% |
| 56 | 60% |
| 48 | 66% |



Acoustic Analysis

- Acoustic characterization of samples was performed on polyMIPEs at ultrasonic frequencies
 - Two different thicknesses were used to measure time of flight differences to confirm calculated speed of sound
- Longitudinal sound speed (c_L) is calculated
 - •The distance traveled per unit time by a sound wave as it propagates through an elastic medium

Longitudinal sound speed was calculated to be ~40m/s





Conclusions

- We have several projects in various application areas
- All the projects share the same philosophy, where we take a hierarchical view.
- Specifically, how can we control polymer chemistry to dictate materials properties.





Acknowledgments

- Emily Dalton
- Prathyusha Chimala
- Tucker McKenzie
- Dr. Mario Perera
- Dr. Qinyuan Chai
- Dr. Xinjun Yu
- Dr. Yongshun Chen
- Dr. Leeanne Taylor
- Dr. Xiaoping Chen
- Dr. Eric Mullins (CCHMC)
- Molly Shaw (CCHMC)
- Molkentin Research Group (CCHMC)
- Prof. Terry Kirley (UC)
- Prof. Greg Beaucage (UC)
- Prof. Oliver Mondain-Monval (UB)

Find us on the web at ayres.group

or on twitter @AyresLab



)

Thank you

